LABQUALITY

External Quality Assessment Scheme

Clinical cytology: Gynaecological cytology (liquid), virtual microscopy Round 1, 2022

Specimens

Please find enclosed 6 specimens as cases with virtual microscopy images.

Background information

Specimens are gynaecological, Papanicolaou stained cytology pap smear samples. The whole specimen slide has been scanned. Papanicolaou stained cytological slides were chosen from routine material of a pathology laboratory. Age of the patient and information about point of menstrual cycle, hormone therapy, contraception and clinical history are given when available.

Parameters

Please see page 2.

Result reporting

Please enter the results and methods via LabScala (www.labscala.com). Please use Mozilla Firefox or Google Chrome as a web browser when using LabScala. Do not use Internet Explorer. If you have problems viewing the slides and you see the Aiforia logo only but no slides, please ask your IT department to allow access to webpage http://cloud.aiforia.com.

Results can be entered and changed as long as the round is still open, so even if those are already sent to Labquality. Change your results, save as final and remember to send results again.

CASES

S001, Case 1 (LQ779522011)

Female 54 yr. 2017 a solid tumorlike process ca 6.5 cm, was detected in the uterine corpus. No symptoms. Annual cytology controls recommended. Now a control LBC sample.

S002, Case 2 (LQ779522012)

Female 62 years. Previously treated for squamous cell carcinoma of the skin. Recently an abnormal ultrasound sonography of the uterine corpus. No uterine discharge. An LBC sample was taken.

S003, Case 3 (LQ779522013)

Female, 66 years. Several years ago hysterectomy due to uterine prolapse. Previously HPV-positive. Now a control LBC sample from the vagina.

S004, Case 4 (LQ779522014)

Female, 43 years. 2 years ago LEEP-cone due to LSIL. Postoperatively HPV positive. Now colposcopy was performed and no acetowhite staining of epithelium was observed. LBC sample was taken.

S005, Case 5 (LQ779522015)

Female 59 years old. Uterine discharge was observed. LBC sample from the uterine cervix.

S006, Case 6 (LQ779522016)

Female, 79 years. Postmenopausal bleeding. Uterine ultrasound was abnormal. LBC sample was taken.

2022-07-05

INSTRUCTIONS

Product no. 6701 LQ779522011-016/FI

Subcontracting: Digital image services

The results should be reported no later than **August 18, 2022.**

Inquiries

EQA Coordinator Pia Eloranta pia.eloranta@labquality.fi

Labquality Oy

Kumpulantie 15 FI-00520 HELSINKI Finland

Tel. + 358 9 8566 8200 Fax + 358 9 8566 8280

info@labquality.fi www.labquality.com





Clinical cytology: Gynaecological cytology (liquid), virtual microscopy

Parameters

This round assesses diagnostics of cervico-vaginal and endometrial cellular atypias. Epithelial abnormalities of the gynaecological Papanicolaou stained smears should be classified according to the modified Bethesda system (TBS 2001). It is voluntary to give the Papanicolaou classification (Classes 0–5). The circles in samples are only routine markings. Important findings may also be found outside of these markings.

Responses from individual pathologists are requested instead of responses based on group consensus. Interpretations should be made as similarly as possible compared to patient cases. It is possible to return multiple results/case (1-5 respondents). Although in every day work you must often give several different diagnoses or interpretations, only one diagnosis of each case per respondent is wished. This will make final analysis easier.

It is important to take into account that this external quality assessment scheme does not evaluate cytological examination as a medical consultation. Only the most important parameters, especially cellular atypia, have been chosen to obtain a comprehensible final report.

Papanicolaou class (voluntary):

Please give the Papanicolaou classification only if you use it in your normal routine. 0 (not representative), 1 (normal), 2 (benign atypia), 3 (suspect), 4 (clearly suspect) or 5 (malignant).

Specific diagnosis:

trichomonas fungal organism actinomyces/ALO herpes negative for intraepithelial lesion and malignancy, NILM inflammation/regeneration atrophic vaginitis radiation change IUD change atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance, ASC-US atypical squamous cells, cannot exclude HSIL, ASC-H low grade SIL (CIN1, slight dysplasia, HPV) high grade SIL (CIN2, moderate dysplasia, CIN3, severe dysplasia, CIS) squamous cell carcinoma, also suspicion benign endometrium atypical glandular cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS atypical glandular endocervical cells of undetermined significance. AGC-NOS atypical glandular endometrial cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS atypical glandular cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN atypical glandular endocervical cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN atypical glandular endometrial cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN adenocarcinoma in situ, AIS cervical adenocarcinoma endometrial adenocarcinoma extrauterine adenocarcinoma other malignant neoplasm other finding

R	lound						No of participants	Response percentage %				
Gynaecological cytology (liquid based), virtual microscopy, May, 1-2022							121			111		91.74%
A	greeme	nt percent	tage of the r	esponses								
(0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%	
1												
			The r	nost common	Papan. class:	51.33%						
			The most	common diag	10sis: 45.29%							
	201	1.00/	2001	2007	100/	5.001		700/	0.004		1.000/	

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S001 | Papanicolaou class





Papanicolaou class	n		Agreement percentage of the responses	%
1 (normal)		47	The most common Papan. class	51.65
2 (benign atypia)	۲	19		
3 (suspect)		23		
4 (clearly suspect)		1		
5 (malignant)		1		
Total		91		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S001 | Specific diagnosis





Specific diagnosis %



Specific diagnosis	n		Agreement percentage of the responses	%
Atrophic vaginitis	۲	10	The most common diagnosis	60.29
Atypical glandular cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS		2		
Atypical glandular cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN		1		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Atypical glandular endocervical cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS						
Atypical glandular endocervical cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN						
Atypical glandular endometrial cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS						
Atypical glandular endometrial cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	1					
Atypical squamous cells, cannot exclude HSIL, ASC-H						
Atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance, ASC-US						
Benign endometrium						
Herpes	3					
Inflammation/regeneration	2					
Low grade SIL (CIN1, slight dysplasia, HPV)	1					
Negative for intraepithelial lesion and malignancy, NILM						
Other finding						
Trichomonas	1					
Total	136					

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S002 | Papanicolaou class





apanicolaou class	n	
1 (normal)		2
2 (benign atypia)		6
3 (suspect)		33
4 (clearly suspect)	۲	38
5 (malignant)		11
Tota	ıl	90

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S002 | Specific diagnosis



Copyright © Labquality Oy

08.11.2022

100%

100%



pecific diagnosis	n		Agreement percentage of the responses	Agreement percentage of the responses %
Adenocarcinoma in situ, AIS		2	The most common diagnosis	The most common diagnosis
Atrophic vaginitis	-	L		
Atypical glandular cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS	2	L		
Atypical glandular cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	8	3		
Atypical glandular endocervical cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS		2		
Atypical glandular endocervical cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	4	ł		
Atypical glandular endometrial cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS	<u>c</u>)		
Atypical glandular endometrial cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	13	3		
Atypical squamous cells, cannot exclude HSIL, ASC-H)		
Atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance, ASC-US		ł		
Benign endometrium		2		
Cervical adenocarcinoma		2		
Endometrial adenocarcinoma	Q)		
High grade SIL (CIN2, moderate dysplasia, CIN3, severe dysplasia, CIS)	14	ļ		
Inflammation/regeneration		2		
Low grade SIL (CIN1, slight dysplasia, HPV)		2		
Negative for intraepithelial lesion and malignancy, NILM	-	L		
Other malignant neoplasm		2		
Radiation change	-			
Squamous cell carcinoma, also suspicion	11	L		
Total	l 139)		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S003 | Papanicolaou class





Papanicolaou class	n		Agreement percentage of the responses	%
1 (normal)		10	The most common Papan. class	57.4
2 (benign atypia)		18		
3 (suspect)		50		
4 (clearly suspect)	۲	9		
Total		87		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S003 | Specific diagnosis





Specific diagnosis %

Specific diagnosis	n	
Atrophic vaginitis		1
Atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance, ASC-US		55
High grade SIL (CIN2, moderate dysplasia, CIN3, severe dysplasia, CIS)		1
Inflammation/regeneration		2
Low grade SIL (CIN1, slight dysplasia, HPV)	۲	68
Negative for intraepithelial lesion and malignancy, NILM		10
Other finding		2
Total		139

Agreement percentage of the responses						
The most common diagnosis	48.92					

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S004 | Papanicolaou class





Papanicolaou class	n		Agreement percentage of the responses	(
1 (normal)		8	The most common Papan. class	
2 (benign atypia)		7		
3 (suspect)		31		
4 (clearly suspect)	۲	38		
5 (malignant)		2		
Total		86		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S004 | Specific diagnosis



Specific diagnosis

0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% The most common diagnosis 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

Specific diagnosis %

Specific diagnosis	n				
Atypical glandular cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN		1			
Atypical squamous cells, cannot exclude HSIL, ASC-H		21			
Atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance, ASC-US		9			
Benign endometrium		1			
Fungal organism		4			
High grade SIL (CIN2, moderate dysplasia, CIN3, severe dysplasia, CIS)					
Low grade SIL (CIN1, slight dysplasia, HPV)	۲	57			
Negative for intraepithelial lesion and malignancy, NILM		2			
Trichomonas		2			
Total		139			

Agreement percentage of the responses						
The most common diagnosis	41.01					

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S005 | Papanicolaou class





Papanicolaou class	n		Agreement percentage of the responses	%
2 (benign atypia)		3	The most common Papan. class	76
3 (suspect)		7		
4 (clearly suspect)		10		
5 (malignant)	۲	66		
Total		86		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S005 | Specific diagnosis



Specific diagnosis	n		Agreement percentage of the responses
Adenocarcinoma in situ, AIS		1	The most common diagnosis
Atypical glandular endocervical cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN		2	
Atypical squamous cells, cannot exclude HSIL, ASC-H		3	
Cervical adenocarcinoma		1	
Herpes		1	
High grade SIL (CIN2, moderate dysplasia, CIN3, severe dysplasia, CIS)		26	
Inflammation/regeneration		2	
Squamous cell carcinoma, also suspicion	۲	100	
Trichomonas		1	
Total		137	

greement percentage of the responses	%	
he most common diagnosis	72.99	

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S006 | Papanicolaou class





Papanicolaou class	n		Agreement percentage of the responses	%
1 (normal)		6	The most common Papan. class	36.05
2 (benign atypia)		2		
3 (suspect)	۲	20		
4 (clearly suspect)		27		
5 (malignant)		31		
Total		86		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S006 | Specific diagnosis



Specific diagnosis % 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% The most common 28.26% diagnosis 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

Specific diagnosis	n	Agreement percentage of the responses	%	
Atypical glandular cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS	8	The most common diagnosis		28.26
Atypical glandular cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	12			
Atypical glandular endocervical cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS	3			
Atypical glandular endocervical cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	3			
Atypical glandular endometrial cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS				
Atypical glandular endometrial cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	39			
Atypical squamous cells, cannot exclude HSIL, ASC-H	5			
Benign endometrium	1			
Endometrial adenocarcinoma	38			
Extrauterine adenocarcinoma	1			
Fungal organism	1			
High grade SIL (CIN2, moderate dysplasia, CIN3, severe dysplasia, CIS)	3			

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Negative for intraepithelial lesion and malignancy, NILM	3
Total	138

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Round	No of participants	No of responded participants	Response percentage %
Gynaecological cytology (liquid based), virtual microscopy, May, 1-2022	121	111	91.74%

Agreement percentage of the responses

0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
1										
		The m	iost common	Papan. class:	51.33%					
		The most c	ommon diag	nosis: 45.29%						
_					-					
0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S001 | Papanicolaou class



Papanicolaou class % 0% 20% 40% 100% 60% 80% The most common Papan. 51.65% class 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

Papanicolaou class	n	Agreement percentage of the responses	%
1 (normal)	47	The most common Papan. class	51.65
2 (benign atypia)	19		
3 (suspect)	23		
4 (clearly suspect)	1		
5 (malignant)	1		
Total	91		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S001 | Specific diagnosis







Specific diagnosis	n	Agreement percentage of the responses	%
Atrophic vaginitis	10	The most common diagnosis	60.29
Atypical glandular cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS	2		
Atypical glandular cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	1		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Atypical glandular endocervical cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS	3
Atypical glandular endocervical cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	1
Atypical glandular endometrial cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS	2
Atypical glandular endometrial cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	1
Atypical squamous cells, cannot exclude HSIL, ASC-H	12
Atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance, ASC-US	12
Benign endometrium	1
Herpes	3
Inflammation/regeneration	2
Low grade SIL (CIN1, slight dysplasia, HPV)	1
Negative for intraepithelial lesion and malignancy, NILM	82
Other finding	2
Trichomonas	1
Total	136

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S002 | Papanicolaou class



Papanicolaou class	n	Agreement percentage of the responses	%
1 (normal)	2	The most common Papan. class	42.22
2 (benign atypia)	6		
3 (suspect)	33		
4 (clearly suspect)	38		
5 (malignant)	11		
Total	90		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

60%

60%

80%

80%

100%

100%

Sample S002 | Specific diagnosis



Copyright © Labquality Oy



Specific diagnosis	n	Agr	eement percentage of the responses
Adenocarcinoma in situ, AIS	2		The most common diagnosis
Atrophic vaginitis	1		
Atypical glandular cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS	21		
Atypical glandular cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	8		
Atypical glandular endocervical cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS	2		
Atypical glandular endocervical cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	4		
Atypical glandular endometrial cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS	9		
Atypical glandular endometrial cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	13		
Atypical squamous cells, cannot exclude HSIL, ASC-H	29		
Atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance, ASC-US	4		
Benign endometrium	2		
Cervical adenocarcinoma	2		
Endometrial adenocarcinoma	9		
High grade SIL (CIN2, moderate dysplasia, CIN3, severe dysplasia, CIS)	14		
Inflammation/regeneration	2		
Low grade SIL (CIN1, slight dysplasia, HPV)	2		
Negative for intraepithelial lesion and malignancy, NILM	1		
Other malignant neoplasm	2		
Radiation change	1		
Squamous cell carcinoma, also suspicion	11		
Tota	l 139		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S003 | Papanicolaou class



Papanicolaou class	n	Agreement percentage of the responses	%
1 (normal)	10	The most common Papan. class	57.47
2 (benign atypia)	18		
3 (suspect)	50		
4 (clearly suspect)	9		
Total	87		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S003 | Specific diagnosis





Specific diagnosis %

Specific diagnosis	n
Atrophic vaginitis	1
Atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance, ASC-US	55
High grade SIL (CIN2, moderate dysplasia, CIN3, severe dysplasia, CIS)	1
Inflammation/regeneration	2
Low grade SIL (CIN1, slight dysplasia, HPV)	68
Negative for intraepithelial lesion and malignancy, NILM	10
Other finding	2
Total	139

Agreement percentage of the responses	
The most common diagnosis	48.92

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S004 | Papanicolaou class



40% 100% 60% 80% 44.19% 40% 60% 80% 100%

Papanicolaou class	n	Agreement percentage of the responses	%
1 (normal)	8	The most common Papan. class	44.19
2 (benign atypia)	7		
3 (suspect)	31		
4 (clearly suspect)	38		
5 (malignant)	2		
Total	86		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S004 | Specific diagnosis



Specific diagnosis

0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% The most common diagnosis 41.01% 60% 80% 100%

Specific diagnosis %

Specific diagnosis	n
Atypical glandular cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	1
Atypical squamous cells, cannot exclude HSIL, ASC-H	21
Atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance, ASC-US	9
Benign endometrium	1
Fungal organism	4
High grade SIL (CIN2, moderate dysplasia, CIN3, severe dysplasia, CIS)	42
Low grade SIL (CIN1, slight dysplasia, HPV)	57
Negative for intraepithelial lesion and malignancy, NILM	2
Trichomonas	2
Total	139

Agreement percentage of the responses	
The most common diagnosis	

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S005 | Papanicolaou class



Papanicolaou class	n	Agreement percentage of the responses	%
2 (benign atypia)	3	The most common Papan. class	76.74
3 (suspect)	7		
4 (clearly suspect)	10		
5 (malignant)	66		
Total	86		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S005 | Specific diagnosis



Specific diagnosis	n	Agreement percentage of the respo
Adenocarcinoma in situ, AIS	1	The most common diagnosis
Atypical glandular endocervical cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	2	
Atypical squamous cells, cannot exclude HSIL, ASC-H	3	
Cervical adenocarcinoma	1	
Herpes	1	
High grade SIL (CIN2, moderate dysplasia, CIN3, severe dysplasia, CIS)	26	
Inflammation/regeneration	2	
Squamous cell carcinoma, also suspicion	100	
Trichomonas	1	
Total	137	

e most common diagnosis 72.99

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S006 | Papanicolaou class



Papanicolaou class	n	Agreement percentage of the responses	%
1 (normal)	6	The most common Papan. class	36.05
2 (benign atypia)	2		
3 (suspect)	20		
4 (clearly suspect)	27		
5 (malignant)	31		
Total	86		

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Sample S006 | Specific diagnosis





Specific diagnosis %

Specific diagnosis	n	Agreement percentage of the responses	%	
Atypical glandular cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS	8	The most common diagnosis		28
Atypical glandular cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	12			
Atypical glandular endocervical cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS	3			
Atypical glandular endocervical cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	3			
Atypical glandular endometrial cells of undetermined significance, AGC-NOS	21			
Atypical glandular endometrial cells, favour neoplastic, AGC-FN	39			
Atypical squamous cells, cannot exclude HSIL, ASC-H	5			
Benign endometrium	1			
Endometrial adenocarcinoma	38			
Extrauterine adenocarcinoma	1			
Fungal organism	1			
High grade SIL (CIN2, moderate dysplasia, CIN3, severe dysplasia, CIS)	3			

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Negative for intraepithelial lesion and malignancy, NILM	3
Total	138

Copyright © Labquality Oy

LABQUALITY

External Quality Assessment Scheme Clinical cytology, virtual microscopy Gynaecological cytology (liquid) Round 1, 2022

This Report replaces: Final Report, Clinical cytology, virtual microscopy Gynaecological cytology (liquid) Round 1, 2022, 7th of November 2022.

Reason for the amendment: Error in comments in case S003. No impact on customer reports.

Amendment: Corrected case S003 comment: "The histological changes were consistent with HPV infection and LSIL"

Specimens

Sample S001 – S005 (LQ779522011 – LQ779522016) were gynaecological, Papanicolaou stained cytology liquid-based cytology samples virtual microscopy images. The slides were chosen from routine material of a pathology laboratory. Age of the patient and information about point of menstrual cycle, hormone therapy, contraception and clinical history were given when available. The samples were available only as virtual images on this round.

Report info

The final report contains distribution of diagnoses and diagnostic agreement. Laboratory's own result is marked with a black radio button (\odot). Common instruction guidelines how to interpret the reports can be found under "LabScala user instructions" in LabScala.

It is important to take into account that this external quality assurance scheme does not evaluate cytological examination as a medical consultation. It is intended for interlaboratory comparison including features that may vary between respondents. In case you have any questions regarding the reports, please contact the EQA coordinator

Comments – Expert

S001: Female 54 yr. 2017 a solid tumorlike process ca 6.5 cm, was detected in the uterine corpus. No symptoms. Annual cytology controls recommended. Now a control LBC sample.

Mainly normal ectocervical squamous cells were seen in the LBC sample. The sample consisted mainly of mature superficial squamous cells. Minimal nuclear variation was observed. No diagnostic changes were seen.

Cytological diagnosis: NILM, negative for intraepithelial lesion or malignancy Papanicolaou class: I

Papanicolaou class: I

HPV-HR test was negative. Histologically no signs of squamous intraepithelial lesions were detected. The most common diagnosis made by the respondents was NILM (60.3%). The most common Papanicolaou class was Class 1 (51.65%). The share of classes 0-2 was 73%. The overall agreement of the diagnoses made by the respondents was good.

S002: Female 62 years. Previously treated for squamous cell carcinoma of the skin. Recently an abnormal ultrasound sonography of the uterine corpus. No uterine discharge. An LBC sample was taken. Any signs of malignancy?

2022-11-15

REVISED FINAL REPORT

Product no. 6701

Subcontracting: Sample preparation, Digital image services

Samples sent	2022-07-05
Round closed	2022-08-23
Final report	2022-11-07

Request for correction

Typing errors in laboratory's result forms are on laboratory's responsibility. Labquality accepts responsibility only for result processing. Requests must be notified by writing within three weeks from the date of this letter.

Authorized by

EQA Coordinator Pia Eloranta pia.eloranta@labquality.fi

Expert

Docent Pekka Laurila, MD, PhD, Department of pathology HUSLAB, Helsinki University Central Hospital Helsinki, Finland

Labquality Oy

Kumpulantie 15 FI-00520 HELSINKI Finland

Tel. + 358 9 8566 8200 Fax + 358 9 8566 8280

info@labquality.fi www.labquality.fi





Cytologically a background of atrophic squamous cells and relatively abundant inflammatory cells was seen. Some cell groups contained markedly abnormal, columnar epithelial cells with enlarged nuclei with increased variation of nuclear size. The cells were hyperchromatic with relatively large cytoplasm. Occasional glandular structures could be discerned.

Cytological diagnosis: AGC-FN, atypical glandular cells, favor neoplasia, endometrial Papanicolaou class: IV

The histological sample showed an endometrial adenocarcinoma, clear cell carcinoma. The most common diagnosis given by the respondents was ASC-H (20.85%). The second most common diagnosis was AGC-NOS (15.1%). The most common Papanicolaou class was Class V (52.1%). The second most common Papanicolaou class was class IV (42.22%). The share of classes III-V was 91%. The overall agreement of the diagnoses made by the respondents was moderate.

S003: Female, 66 years. Several years prior hysterectomy due to uterine prolapse. Previously HPV-positive. Now a control LBC sample from the vagina.

The cytological sample showed mostly normal superficial and intermediate squamous cells. Several cell groups showed somewhat increased nuclear size with slightly irregular nuclear membrane Some binucleate cells were seen. The changes were suggestive of HPV infection and the cytological diagnosis ASCUS was made.

Cytological diagnosis: ASCUS, Atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (LSIL also acceptable)

Papanicolaou class: II (Class III also acceptable)

The vaginal biopsy showed slightly hyperplastic and parakeratotic squamous epithelium. The histological changes were consistent with HPV infection and LSIL. The most common diagnosis made by the respondents was LSIL (48.92%). The second most common diagnosis was ASCUS (39.5%). The most common Papanicolaou class was Class III (57.47%). The overall agreement of the diagnoses made by the respondents was good.

S004: Female, 43 years. 2 years prior LEEP-cone due to LSIL. Postoperatively HPV positive. Now colposcopy was performed and no acetowhite staining of epithelium was observed. LBC sample was taken.

Cytologically normal ectocervical squamous cells were seen in the background. In addition, some groups of cells with larger hyperchromatic nuclei and irregular nuclear membrane were seen. Perinuclear cytoplasmic halo consistent with koilocytosis was seen in the squamous epithelial cells. The finding was consistent with HPV infection. The cytological diagnosis was LSIL.

Cytological diagnosis Low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL) Papanicolaou class: III

The histological sample showed changes consistent with low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL) and HPV infection. Histologically CIN1-type of lesion. The most common diagnosis given by the respondents was LSIL (41.01%). The second most common diagnoses were HSIL (30.2%). The most common Papanicolaou class was Class IV (44.19%). The overall agreement of the diagnoses made by the respondents was good.

S005: Female 59 years old. Uterine discharge was observed. LBC sample from the uterine cervix.

Cytologically a relatively abundant sample was seen. An abnormal finding consisting of single and groups of atypical squamous cells epithelial cells. The cells contained large and hyperchromatic nuclei with some prominent nucleoli. Some dyskeratotic cells were observed. The nuclei were large and hyperchromatic, nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio was increased. Necrotic debris and inflammatory reaction consistent with tumor diathesis, were seen in the background. The cytological changes are consistent squamous cell carcinoma or suspicion of squamous cell carcinoma.

Cytological diagnosis: Squamous cell carcinoma, or suspicion. Papanicolaou class: IV (also class V acceptable).

The histological sample showed an invasive squamous cell carcinoma with positive HPV markers. The finding was consistent with HPV-associated squamous cell carcinoma. The most common diagnosis given by the respondents was squamous cell carcinoma or suspicion (73%). The second most common diagnosis was HSIL (19%). The most common Papanicolaou class was Class V (76.7%). The share of classes III-V was 96.5%. The overall agreement of the diagnoses made by the respondents was very good.

S006: Female, 79 years. Postmenopausal bleeding. Uterine ultrasound was abnormal. LBC sample was taken.

The cytological sample showed numerous normal squamous cells in the background. Among the squamous cells some groups of smaller columnar epithelial cells were observed. These cells had larger hyperchromatic nuclei, somewhat irregular nuclear membrane and hyperchromasia. The finding is consistent with high-grade glandular cell atypia suspicious for neoplasia, primarily endometrial atypical glandular cells.

Cytological diagnosis: AGC-FN, atypical glandular cells endometrial, favor neoplasia Papanicolaou class: IV

The histological sample showed low-grade endometrioid carcinoma of endometrium. The most common diagnosis given by the respondents was AGC-FN, endometrial (28.2%). The second most common diagnosis was endometrial adenocarcinoma (27.5%). The most common Papanicolaou class was Class V (36.0%). The second most common Papanicolaou class was class IV (31.4%). The share of classes III-V was 91%. The overall agreement of the diagnoses made by the respondents was very good.

End of report

Copyright © Labquality Oy

Labquality does not permit any reproduction for commercial purposes of any portion of the material subject to this copyright. Labquality prohibits any use of its name, or reference to Labquality EQA program, or material in this report in any advertising, brochures or other commercial publications. Labquality EQA data do not necessarily indicate the superiority of instruments, reagents, testing equipments or materials used by participating laboratories. Use of Labquality EQA data to suggest superiority or inferiority of equipments or materials may be deceptive and misleading. Proficiency test results are handled confidentially. Labquality will not issue any statements to third parties of the performance of laboratories in external quality assessment schemes unless otherwise agreed.