# LABQUALITY

External Quality Assessment Scheme

# General Bacteriology 2 Round 2, 2017

Please find enclosed the results of the round. The specimens were sent to 77 laboratories, out of 17 countries. 47 out of these laboratories participated in the General Bacteriology 1 survey, which includes altogether four specimens, of which specimens 001 and 002 are common with General Bacteriology 2.

The specimens were as follows:

Specimen 001: Streptococcus dysgalactiae ssp. equisimilis (group G) ATCC® 12394™

Specimen 002: Pseudomonas aeruginosa CL 90-7334

### Results

The results of the round are presented in summary tables.

- Final report to the clinician. The grey areas show the laboratories' own results. Please check that the client code on the printouts is correct.
- Susceptibility testing results by disk diffusion method of specimen 001 are shown as a numerical summary. Laboratory specific histograms are drawn for each antimicrobial agent if the laboratory's result is included in a group of at least three results. By "group" is indicated results that are obtained and interpreted according to the same standard (e.g. EUCAST, CLSI, CA-SFM, BSAC etc.). Summary of reported MIC-results are presented in Annex 1.
- A laboratory specific scoring table will be included in the result reporting for each round (see also Annex 2).

<u>For laboratories ordering print outs:</u> The laboratory-specific numerical summaries, histograms and report letter of this round are also available on the Labquality homepage www.labquality.fi. Please choose Login to LabScala on the top right-hand corner and fill in your laboratory client code/personal user name and password. Next choose *View Reports*.

### Comments

#### Specimen 001

**Background information**: Peritonsillar abscess developed after tonsillitis treated with erythromycin. Ongoing clindamycin treatment. **Finding**: *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* ssp. *equisimilis,* Group G, as a significant pathogen.

#### Patient and specimen

Group G streptococci (*S. dysgalactiae* ssp. *equisimilis*) can cause tonsillitis and peritonsillar abscess.

#### Culture of the specimen

When tonsillitis is suspected, specimen should be cultured using agar suitable for streptococci, possibly even selective agar. When complicat-

#### 2017-08-04

#### FINAL REPORT

Product no: 5081 UN3373 LQ760117021-022/US Subcontracting: sample pre-testing

Items dispatched:	2017-05-09
Closing date:	2017-06-05
Expected results:	2017-06-07
Final report:	2017-08-04

#### The report includes

- the expected results
- comments on the results by the scheme expert
- laboratory specific tables

#### **Request for correction**

Typing errors on laboratory's result forms are on laboratory's responsibility. Labquality accepts responsibility only for the result processing. Requests for correction must be notified in writing within one month of receiving the results.

#### Next round

The next General Bacteriology 2, EQA round (3, 2017) will be carried out in September 2017.

#### Authorized by

EQA Coordinator Yvonne Björkman T. +358 9 8566 8222 yvonne.bjorkman@labquality.fi

#### Labquality

Kumpulantie 15 FI-00520 Helsinki FINLAND Telephone +358 9 8566 8200 Fax +358 9 8566 8280 +358 9 8566 8281

info@labquality.fi www.labquality.fi

© Labquality





ed and under treatment, specimen should be cultured on both blood agar and chocolate agar plates, and possibly (if the specimen is obtained from abscess by puncture) also anaerobically incubated plates.

#### **Identification**

Only one pathogen was isolated from the specimen. Colony morphology was typical for beta-hemolytic *Streptococcus*. MALDI TOF based methods, as well as biochemical test such as Vitek GP ID Card identified this beta-hemolytic bacteria as *Streptococcus dysgalactiae*. These methods poorly differentiate two subspecies *equisimilis* and *dysgalactiae*, latter however being only rarely isolated from human specimens. The presence of Lancefield group antigen G was detected by commercial Lancefield antigen grouping sera.

 Table 1. Summary of results, specimen 001.

Finding		
	All participants	Reference group*
No. of returned results / No. of participants	75/77 (97 %)	46/47 (98 %)
Streptococcus dysg. ssp. equisimilis	21/75 /28 %)	14/46 (30 %)
Streptococcus sp., beta-hem., group G	39/75 (52 %)	23/46 (50 %)
Significant pathogen	52/60 (87 %)	33/37 (89 %)
Possible pathogen	7/60 (12 %)	3/37 (8 %)
Streptococcus dysg. ssp. dysgalactiae	10/75 (13 %)	8/46 (17 %)
False identifications <sup>1</sup>	5/75 (7 %)	1/46 (2 %)

\*Participants of the General Bacteriology 1 scheme

<sup>1</sup> Streptococcus sp., beta-hemolytic, group C (1), Group A (1), S. pyogenes (1), S. agalactiae (2).

### Comments on susceptibility test results

This group G streptococcus strain had no acquired resistance to any of the relevant drugs.

With a very few exceptions, laboratories correctly reported the strain as susceptible. In addition, albeit of misleading background information, majority (62/67) of the laboratories reported the strain also as  $MLS_B$ negative. The few false erythromycin-R interpretations and (consecutive) false positive  $MLS_B$  interpretations are signs of quality problem in susceptibility testing in some of the laboratories. These laboratories should carefully review their procedure to correct it.

In fact, the original idea was to include an MLS<sub>B</sub>-positive strain in this round. Due to some misunderstandings between the supplier of the specimens and us, this could not be realized.

**Table 2.**The MIC-results reported of *Str. dysgalactiae* ssp. *equisimilis* ATCC® 12394<sup>™</sup>, by two Finnish reference laboratories. Both laboratories implement the EUCAST standard.

Antimicrobial agent	Ref. labo MIC (mg/L)	oratory 1 SIR	Ref. labo MIC (mg/L)	ratory 2 SIR	
Clindamycin	0,25	S	0,19	S	
Erythromycin	0,125	S	0,094	S	
Penicillin	0,032 S		0,016	S	
Other results	Report				
Inducible MLS <sub>B</sub> resistance	No No			0	

### Specimen 002

**Background information**: Keratitis. Corneal sample. **Findings**: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as a significant pathogen.

#### Patient and specimen

*P. aeruginosa* can cause a difficult keratitis. It is not a part of normal microbiota of cornea or conjunctiva. Hence, it should be considered as a significant pathogen when isolated from specimens obtained from eye.

#### Culture of the specimen

Specimen should be cultured on both blood agar and chocolate agar plates.

#### **Identification**

Only one pathogen was growing well on both plates. Gram staining revealed straight and rather long and narrow gram negative rods. Oxidase reaction was positive. Isolate produced green pigment and had an odor typical for *P. aeruginosa.* 

MALDI TOF based methods, as well as biochemical tests such as Vitek-2 GN ID Card can be used in identifying *P. aeruginosa*.

#### Table 3. Summary of results, specimen 002.

Finding	Numbers of reported results within group				
	All participants Reference grou				
No. of returned results / No. of participants	75/77 (97 %)	46/47 (98 %)			
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	73/75 (97 %)	45/46 (98 %)			
Significant pathogen	68/73 (93 %)	43/45 (96 %)			
Possible pathogen	4/73 (5 %)	1/45 (2 %)			
Pseudomonas sp.	1/75 (1 %)	1/46 (2 %)			
False identifications <sup>1</sup>	1/75 (1 %)	0/46			

\*Participants of the General Bacteriology 1 scheme.

<sup>1</sup> Cedecea davisae (1)

#### Scoring

#### **General rules**

Scoring is implemented for each finding when  $\geq$ 60% of the laboratories participating in the General Bacteriology **1** scheme report a correct/expected result. These laboratories are considered as a reference group also for the laboratories participating in the General Bacteriology 2 scheme. The scoring range/finding is 0-5 points.

The scoring comprises the following elements:

- species identification, a maximum of 4 points is given
- the interpretation of the significance of the finding, a maximum of 1 point is given
- in case of insufficient species identification; an additional score (maximum 1 point) might be given to participants that would have referred the isolate for further identification

The following general rules are followed regarding the scoring of the species identification:

- 4p. (maximum score) is reached by reporting the expected correct result, or, by reporting a result that is considered sufficient regarding the expected finding
- 1-3p. is given to results that are partly correct/insufficient regarding the expected finding
- Op. is given for an incorrect/false result or not reporting the results before closing date

Scoring, round 2, 2017

The maximum score is **10** p.

The maximum score/specimen is as follows: Specimen 001: 5 p. Specimen 002: 5 p.

#### See Annex 2 for detailed scoring principles.

The experts of this round were Deputy Chief Physician Tapio Seiskari, M.D., Ph.D., Fimlab, Tampere, Clinical Microbiologist Antti Nissinen, Ph.D., Synlab and Chief Physician Antti Hakanen, M.D., Ph.D., TUCH Microbiology and Genetics.

#### End of report

Copyright © Labquality Ltd

Labquality does not permit any reproduction for commercial purposes of any portion of the material subject to this copyright. Labquality prohibits any use of its name, or reference to Labquality EQA program, or material in this report in any advertising, brochures or other commercial publications. Labquality EQA data do not necessarily indicate the superiority of instruments, reagents, testing equipment or materials used by participating laboratories. Use of Labquality EQA data to suggest superiority or inferiority of equipment or materials may be deceptive and misleading. Proficiency test results are handled confidentially. Labquality will not issue any statements to third parties of the performance of laboratories in external quality assessment schemes unless otherwise agreed

Annex 1. General Bacteriology, 2, 2017. The MIC-results reported by the participating laboratories of specimen 001, *S. dysgalactiae* ssp. *equisimilis* ATCC® 12394<sup>™</sup>.

Antimicrobial agent	MIC-value (mg/L)	Inter- pretation	Reported	Results in all		
	(119/12)	pretation	EUCAST	CLSI	CA-SFM	in an
Amikacin	<=8	R	1			1
Amoxicillin	0,016	S	1			
	0,03	S			1	
	<=0,25	S	1	1		4
Amoxicillin-clavulanate	<=4,2	S	1			1
Ampicillin	0,032	S		1		
	<=0,06	S		1		
	<0,25	S	1			
	<=0,25	S	1			
	0,25	S	1			
	8	S	1			6
Cefepime	<=0,5	S	1	1		2
Cefotaxime	0,03	S	1			
	<0,12	S	1			
	<=0,12	S	2	1		
	0,12	S	5			
	<0,25	S		1		
	<=0,5	S	1	1		
	0,5	S	1			
	546	S	1			15
Ceftriaxone	0,012	S	1			
	<0,12	S	2			
	<=0,12	S	1	1		
	0,12	S	5			10
Cefuroxime	<=0,25	S	1			1
Chloramphenicol	<=2	S	1	1		
	2	S			1	
	8	S	1			4
Clindamycin	0,06	S			1	
	0,0625	S	1	1		
	0,094	S		2		
	0,125	S	2			
	<0,25	S	3			
	<=0,25	S	3	1		
	0,25	S	4			
	<=0,5	S	1			
	0,5	S	6			
	0,5	R	1			26

Antimicrobial agent	MIC-value (mg/L)	Inter- pretation	Reported	Results in all		
			EUCAST	CLSI	CA-SFM	-
Doxycycline	0,94	S	1			1
Ertapenem	0,016	S		1		1
Erythromycin	0,047	S		2		
	<=0,0625	S		1		
	<0,12	S	2			
	<=0,12	S	5	1		
	0,12	S	6			
	0,125	S	2	1		
	0,19	S	1			
	0,25	S	2			
	0,38	S	1			
	<=0,5	S	1			
	0,5	S			1	
	>=8	R	1			
	546	S	1			28
Gentamicin	<=4	R	1			
	<250	S	1			
	250	S			1	3
Imipenem	0,047	S		1		1
Kanamycin	512	S	1			1
Levofloxacin	<0,25	S	1			
	<=0,25	S	5	1		
	0,25	S	6			
	<0,5	S	1			
	<=0,5	S	2	2		
	0,5	S	4	1	1	24
Linezolid	0,2	S	1			
	<=0,5	S		1		
	1	S	2		1	
	1,5	S	1			
	<2	S	1			
	<=2	S	4			
	2	S	6			
	4	S	1			18
Meropenem	0,016	S		1		
	<=0,06	S		1		
	<=0,125	S	1	1		3
Moxifloxacin	0,12	S	1			
	<=0,25	S	1			
	0,25	S	1			
	0,5	S	1			4

Antimicrobial agent	MIC-value (mg/L)	Inter- pretation	Reported results per followed standard			Results in all
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		EUCAST	CLSI	CA-SFM	
Nitrofurantoin	<=32	S	1			
	546	S	1			2
Penicillin	0,006	S	1			
	<=0,012	S	2			
	0,012	S	1			
	0,016	S	2	1		
	0,023	S	2			
	<=0,03	S		1		
	0,03	S	1			
	<=0,0312	S	1	1		
	<=0,03125	S	2			
	<0,06	S	2			
	<=0,06	S	6	1		
	0,06	S	6		1	
	<0,25	S	1			
	546	S	2			34
Phosphomycin	<=32	R	1			
	32	R	1			2
Piperacillin-tazobactam	<0,5	S		1		1
Rifampicin	0,06	S	1			
	546	S	1			2
Streptomycin	<=1000	S	1			1
Teicoplanin	0,016	S	1			
	0,12	S	1			
	<1	S	1			
	<=1	S	1			
	1	S			1	
	<=2	S	1			
	546	S	1			7
Telithromycin	546	S	1			1
Tetracycline	0,125	S	1			
	<0,25	S	1			
	<=0,25	S	5	1		
	0,25	S	5			
	<0,5	S	1			
	<=0,5	S	1	2		
	0,5	S	3		1	
	>=16	R	1			
	546	S	1			23
Tigecycline	0,06	S	1			
	0,064	S	1			2

Antimicrobial agent	MIC-value (mg/L)	Inter- pretation	Reporte	d results per standard	Results in all	
			EUCAST	CLSI	CA-SFM	in an
Tobramycin	<=4	R	1			1
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	0,047	S	1			
	1	S	1			
	<=1,19	S	1			
	<10	S	1			
	<=10	S	5			
	10	S	4			
	19	S	1			14
Vancomycin	<0,12	S	2			
	<=0,12	S	2			
	0,12	S	5			
	0,19	S	2			
	0,25	S	1	1		
	<0,5	S	2			
	<=0,5	S	2	1		
	0,5	S	5	1	1	
	0,75	S		1		
	<=1	S	1			
	1	S		1		
	<2	S	1			
	4	S	1			30
n			223	41	11	275

## ANNEX 2. General Bacteriology 2, round 2, 2017. Scoring summary.

Spec.	Finding	Species identification	Interpre Significant pathogen	etation of the Possible pathogen	e finding Non- significant pathogen, norm. flora	Referral Would be sent forward	Scores in all
	Streptococcus dysgalactiaessp. equisimilis	4p.	1p.				5p.
	Streptococcus sp., beta-hemolytic, group G	4p.	1р.				5p.
001	Streptococcus dysgalactiaessp. dysgalactiae	Зр.	1р.				4p.
001	Streptococcus sp., beta-hemolytic, group C	2р.	1р.				Зр.
	Streptococcus sp., beta-hemolytic, group A	2p.	1р.				Зр.
	Streptococcus sp., beta-hemolytic, group B	2р.	1р.				Зр.
r							1
	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	4p.	1р.				5р.
002	Pseudomonas sp.	2р.	1p.				Зр.
	Other findings (Cedecea davisae)						0р.